

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/663,899	09/16/2003		James P. von Wolske	VONW:0001D	4515	
26122	7590	05/03/2004		EXAMINER		
GARY R. S		RD	SAWHNEY, HARGOBIND S			
AUSTIN, T				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,				2875	*	

DATE MAILED: 05/03/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application I	Vo.	Ø	Applicant(s)					
		10/663,899			WOLSKE, JAMES P. VON					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner			Art Unit					
		Hargobind S			2875					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply										
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).										
Status										
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 165	September 200	<u>3</u> .							
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ Thi	is action is non-	final.							
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.									
Disposition of Claims										
5)	Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-5 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.									
Applicati	ion Papers									
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner.								
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.										
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.										
Priority (	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119									
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.										
2) Notic	t(s) se of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08			lo(s)/Mail Da		O-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>16 September 2003</u> . 6) ☐ Other:										

Application/Control Number: 10/663,899

Art Unit: 2875

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
   The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 2-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 2, line 1, "the docking light system of claim 42" is indefinite as the claim is dependent on Claim 42 which is not included in the instant application. Claim 2 appears to be dependent on the independent Claim 1, which is the only independent claim. Similar deficiency also exists in each of claims 3-5. Therefore claims 3-5 are also rejected.

The instant application has been examined considering each of claims 2-5 being dependent on Claim 1.

Claim 3, line 2, "accessory light" needs to be rephrased as --accessory lamp—as there is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Application/Control Number: 10/663,899 Page 3

Art Unit: 2875

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

4. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Aube´ et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,672,240).

Aube' et al. ('240) discloses a docking light system (Figure 2) comprising:

- a docking light fixture 158 housing a docking lamp 12 positioned; and an accessory lamp 11 mounted to the docking light fixture 158.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 3 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Aube' et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,672,240).

Aube´ et al. ('240) discloses a docking light system (Figure 2) comprising a docking lamp and an accessory lamp. However, Aube´ et al. ('240) does not teach the accessory lamp operating as a masthead light when mounted at the bow of the watercraft.

Art Unit: 2875

Regarding claims 3 and 4, it has been held that a recitation with respect to the manner in which a claim apparatus is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from a prior art apparatus satisfying the claimed structural limitation.

### Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 2 and 5 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The prior art of record, including Aube' et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,672,240), Schmidt, Jr, (U.S. Patent No. 5,672,004), Ziaylek, Jr. (U.S. Patent No. 4,445,163), Ziaylek, Jr. (U.S. Patent No. 4,360,859) Ziaylek, Jr. (U.S. Patent No. 4,245,281) and Morse (U.S. Patent No. 2,619,582 and 2,504,866), fails to show or suggest the applicant's invention as claimed. Specifically, the prior art of record does not disclose a docking light fixture combining:

- a fiber optic mounted on the docking light fixture as recited in Claim 2.
- a first and second accessory lamps collectively being a maskhead light as recited in Claim 5.

The combination of the above-indicated features, including a first and second accessory lamps collectively operating as a masthead light makes this disclosure unique.

Art Unit: 2875

### Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hargobind S Sawhney whose telephone number is 703-306-5909. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:15 - 2:45.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sandra O'Shea can be reached on 703-305-4939. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-93067724 for regular communications and (703) 872-9306 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-2956.

**HSS** 

4/26/2004

THOMAS M. SEMBER
PRIMARY EXAMINER